# DWI Detection And Standardized Field Sobriety Testing Training Program Participant's Critique

# A. <u>Workshop Objectives</u>

Please indicate whether you feel that <u>you personally</u> achieved the following course objectives.

		Yes	No	Not Sure
1.	Enable you to understand enforcement's role in general DWI deterrence.			
2.	Enable you to understand the detection phases.			
3.	Enable you to understand requirements for organizing and presenting testimonial and documentary evidence in DWI cases.			
4.	Improve your ability to recognize and interpret evidence of DWI violations.			
5.	Enable you to administer and interpret validated psychophysical tests to DWI subjects.			

6.	Improve your ability to describe DWI evidence clearly and convincingly in written reports and verbal testimony.		

## B. <u>Workshop Sessions and Quality of Instruction</u>

Please rate how helpful each workshop session was for <u>you personally</u>. Also, please rate the quality of instruction (subject knowledge, instructional techniques and learning activities).

Use a scale from 1 to 5 where: 5=Excellent, 4=Very Good, 3=Good, 2=Fair, 1=Poor.

	Session/Activity	<u>Quality</u>
Detection and General Deterrence		
The Legal Environment		
Overview of Detection, Note Taking and Testimony		
Phase One: Vehicle in Motion		
Phase Two: Personal Contact		
Phase Three: Pre-Arrest Screening		
Concepts and Principles of Standardized Field Sobriety Tests		
Test Battery Demonstrations		
"Dry Run" Practice		
"Drinking Subjects" Practice		
Processing the Arrested Subject and Preparation for Trial		
Report Writing Exercise and Moot Court		

#### C. Course Design

Please circle the appropriate word to indicate your agreement or disagreement with each of the following statements.

The program contains some information that is not needed and that should be deleted. 1. Agree Disagree Not Sure 2. There are some important topics missing from the program that should be added. Agree Disagree Not Sure 3. The program is too short. Not Sure Agree Disagree 4. I feel this program has improved my own ability to enforce DWI laws. Disagree Not Sure Agree 5. The instructors did a good job. Not Sure Agree Disagree 6. I am very glad I attended the program. Disagree Not Sure Agree 7. The program is too long. Agree Disagree Not Sure 8. The instructors should have been better prepared. Disagree Not Sure Agree 9. I feel fully qualified to use the nystagmus test now. Agree Disagree Not Sure

D.	If you <u>absolutely</u> had to de	lete one session or topic fro	m this course, what would it be?	
	Agree	Disagree	Not Sure	
13.	I wish we had more practice with drinking volunteers.			
	Agree	Disagree	Not Sure	
12.	These three new tests defin	ese three new tests definitely will improve our ability to identify impaired drivers.		
	Agree	Disagree	Not Sure	
11.	Too much time was spent p	nuch time was spent practicing with drinking volunteers.		
	Agree	Disagree	Not Sure	
10.	I feel fully qualified to use the second s	eel fully qualified to use the two divided attention tests now.		

E. If you could add <u>one new topic</u> or session to this course, what would it be?

# F. Overall Course Rating

Please rate the overall quality of the seminar on a scale from 1 to 5 where: 5=Excellent, 4=Very Good, 3=Good, 2=Fair, 1=Poor.

Overall Course Rating: \_\_\_\_\_

### G. **Quality of Instruction**

Please rate each instructor on a scale from 1 to 5 where: 5=Excellent, 4=Very Good, 3=Good, 2=Fair, 1=Poor.

Instructor	Rating
Instructor	Rating
Instructor	Rating
Instructor	Rating

## H. Please provide any final comments or suggestions that you feel are appropriate.

# I. Please comment on the "Introduction to Drugged Driving" portion of the class, if presented.